

# Decentralized Physical Infrastructure Networks (DePIN): Architectural Foundations, Market Analysis, and Investment Opportunities

## 1. Executive Summary and Macroeconomic Context

The evolution of the digital economy has historically been tethered to the physical limitations of centralized infrastructure. Telecommunications conglomerates, hyper-scale cloud computing providers, and centralized energy grids have established dominant oligopolies, largely protected by the immense upfront capital expenditure (CapEx) required to build and maintain physical hardware networks<sup>1</sup>. While this centralized model facilitated the rapid scaling of Web2 platforms, it has subsequently introduced significant systemic vulnerabilities, including single points of failure, monopolistic pricing structures, data privacy risks, and high barriers to entry that stifle permissionless innovation<sup>2</sup>.

Decentralized Physical Infrastructure Networks (DePIN) represent a profound structural paradigm shift in how global hardware networks are funded, deployed, and operated<sup>2</sup>. Operating at the convergence of blockchain technology, the Internet of Things (IoT), and advanced cryptoeconomic mechanism design, DePINs utilize cryptographic tokens to orchestrate the crowdsourced deployment of real-world infrastructure<sup>1</sup>. By distributing the capital expenditure across a globally distributed participant base, DePIN protocols replace traditional corporate debt and equity financing with tokenized incentives, thereby creating a highly resilient, user-owned network architecture<sup>2</sup>.

Conceptually, a DePIN functions as a blockchain-mediated, three-sided marketplace. It comprises resource suppliers who deploy physical hardware, demand-side consumers who purchase the generated utility, and the decentralized protocol itself which coordinates verification, routing, and settlement<sup>1</sup>. The economic viability of these networks relies entirely on the "DePIN Flywheel," a bootstrapping mechanism wherein initial token emissions subsidize the early hardware deployment necessary to build supply<sup>2</sup>. As network coverage reaches commercial viability, utility is unlocked for end-users who pay for services. This fiat-denominated revenue is then routed on-chain to buy and burn the native token, thereby reducing total supply, programmatically rewarding operators, and organically attracting further hardware deployment<sup>2</sup>.

Between 2024 and late 2025, the DePIN sector underwent a critical maturation phase. The aggregate market capitalization of the sector peaked near \$50 billion before correcting to an estimated \$10 billion to \$19.2 billion, reflecting a broader market pivot from supply-side speculation to demand-driven cash flow<sup>11</sup>. During this period, the sector generated an

annualized on-chain revenue run rate between \$23 million and \$72 million<sup>12</sup>. This transition marks a definitive inflection point. For institutional investors, venture capitalists, and enterprise consumers, DePIN represents not merely a novel cryptographic asset class, but a competitive, high-margin procurement option offering substantial cost reductions—often 50% to 95% cheaper than centralized alternatives—coupled with unparalleled redundancy and geographic distribution<sup>15</sup>.

This report provides an exhaustive technical and commercial analysis of the DePIN ecosystem to aid capital allocators in underwriting investment opportunities. It dissects the foundational architecture and privacy primitives, evaluates sector-specific applications across compute, wireless connectivity, data storage, and geospatial mapping, and details the rigorous tokenomic valuation methodologies required to navigate this emerging digital asset class.

## **2. Technological Architecture and Core Infrastructure Primitives**

The architecture of a DePIN project represents a fundamental departure from both traditional Web2 infrastructure and purely digital Web3 smart contract applications. Building a DePIN requires bridging deterministic on-chain ledgers with asynchronous, trustless physical hardware operating in real-world environments<sup>2</sup>.

### **2.1 The Multi-Layered DePIN Taxonomy**

The system architecture of a fully realized Decentralized Physical Infrastructure Network can be delineated into distinct operational layers, integrated seamlessly to coordinate physical action through digital settlement<sup>3</sup>.

The foundational layer is the Physical Hardware Layer, which encompasses the tangible devices deployed by network participants<sup>2</sup>. These devices range from consumer-grade dashcams utilized for geospatial mapping (Hivemapper), environmental sensors, and LoRaWAN routers (Helium), to highly sophisticated enterprise-grade graphics processing units (GPUs) and bare-metal servers (Akash, io.net)<sup>2</sup>. The hardware acts as the physical edge node, generating raw utility, bandwidth, or environmental data<sup>2</sup>.

Because blockchains are deterministic state machines that cannot natively parse off-chain physical data, DePINs require a robust Middleware and Data Verification Layer<sup>2</sup>. This layer acts as the critical bridge, utilizing decentralized oracle networks to verify the authenticity, geographic location, and operational uptime of the hardware<sup>2</sup>. Protocols such as IoTeX have pioneered middleware solutions like W3bstream, which provides off-chain verifiable compute to process real-world data and multi-prover technologies to generate irrefutable Proof of Physical Work (PoPW)<sup>21</sup>.

Finally, the Blockchain Settlement and Logic Layer manages device registration, decentralized identity, role-based access control, and programmatic token distribution<sup>2</sup>. Smart contracts automatically execute payouts based on the verified performance metrics ingested from the middleware, ensuring that operators are compensated fairly without relying on centralized

accounting departments<sup>2</sup>.

## 2.2 Purpose-Built DePIN Blockchains: Peaq and Soneium

While early iterations of DePINs launched on general-purpose blockchains like Ethereum or Solana, the unique demands of high-frequency machine-to-machine (M2M) micro-transactions have necessitated the development of purpose-built Layer-1 and Layer-2 networks<sup>24</sup>. General-purpose monolithic chains often struggle with state bloat and high transaction fees, which render micropayments between machines economically unviable<sup>24</sup>. The Peaq Network operates as a Layer-1 blockchain explicitly engineered for the "Machine Economy"<sup>24</sup>. Built on the Substrate framework, Peaq achieves highly scalable throughput, processing up to 10,000 transactions per second (TPS) via asynchronous backing, with architectural upgrades targeting 100,000 TPS<sup>24</sup>. Crucially, Peaq maintains transaction costs as low as \$0.00025, enabling frictionless operations for autonomous vehicles, drones, and sensors<sup>24</sup>. Rather than requiring developers to build infrastructure from scratch, Peaq introduces modular DePIN functions stored as WebAssembly (Wasm) blobs directly at the runtime level<sup>23</sup>. These include Peaq ID, a decentralized identifier (DID) standard conforming to W3C specifications that assigns self-sovereign cryptographic passports to physical machines, allowing them to verify each other and transact autonomously<sup>23</sup>. Peaq also implements weight-based metering and transaction batching to aggregate micro-transactions, and a "State Rent" storage deposit model to prevent blockchain state bloat<sup>26</sup>. This architectural modularity allows for seamless network upgrades without contentious hard forks, a mandatory requirement for manufacturers managing millions of deployed physical assets<sup>26</sup>.

Similarly, the emergence of Soneium highlights the bridging of enterprise consumer electronics with Web3 infrastructure<sup>30</sup>. Developed via a joint venture between Sony Group and Startale Labs (Sony Block Solutions Labs), Soneium is an Ethereum Layer-2 network built on Optimism's OP Stack<sup>30</sup>. Since launching its mainnet in January 2025, Soneium has rapidly scaled, processing over 500 million transactions across 5.4 million active wallets and hosting more than 250 decentralized applications<sup>30</sup>. The architecture utilizes a multi-layered approach, including a Data Availability layer that posts transaction data to Ethereum L1 for public verifiability, and distinct Sequencing, Derivation, Execution, and Proof layers<sup>34</sup>. Backed by a \$63 million Series A funding round led by the Sony Innovation Fund, Startale Labs is integrating fiat-pegged stablecoins (USDSC and JPYSC) as the unified settlement layer for this ecosystem, demonstrating how institutional capital is building the requisite infrastructure for mainstream DePIN adoption<sup>30</sup>.

## 2.3 The Privacy and Verification Trilemma: TEEs vs. Zero-Knowledge Proofs

As DePINs scale to enterprise adoption, privacy and data integrity are no longer secondary concerns but core prerequisites for viability<sup>18</sup>. Decentralized networks must definitively prove that physical work was completed correctly without exposing proprietary enterprise datasets,

consumer location data, or sensitive AI model weights to the public ledger or the physical node operator<sup>18</sup>. This introduces the architectural choice between Trusted Execution Environments (TEEs) and Zero-Knowledge (ZK) Proofs.

Zero-Knowledge Proofs allow a prover to mathematically demonstrate to a verifier that a computational statement is true without revealing the underlying input data<sup>38</sup>. While ZK proofs offer absolute mathematical guarantees against adversaries, they suffer from high computational overhead and latency, making real-time processing difficult<sup>37</sup>. The security architecture of a ZK system must address the full lifecycle, including circuit design, auditing, and complex trusted setup ceremonies involving diverse, independent participants<sup>38</sup>. The most dangerous challenge is the invisible soundness bug, where under-constrained circuits can be exploited silently to forge proofs<sup>38</sup>. Crucially, while traditional ZK proofs hide data from the verifier, they do not protect data from the prover; the node operator generating the proof still sees all the private witness data<sup>40</sup>.

Trusted Execution Environments (TEEs), such as Intel SGX, AMD SEV-SNP, Intel TDX, and ARM TrustZone, offer an alternative hardware-based trust model<sup>37</sup>. A TEE is a secure, hardware-isolated region of a processor where code runs encrypted in memory<sup>37</sup>. The data remains encrypted until it reaches the protected enclave, where it is decrypted, processed, and re-encrypted before leaving the CPU<sup>41</sup>. The enclave generates a cryptographic attestation (quote) proving that a specific, untampered version of the software ran on genuine hardware<sup>41</sup>. While this requires trusting the hardware manufacturer, TEEs operate at near-native speeds and can handle massive, general-purpose workloads like 7B-parameter Large Language Models (LLMs) that would take hours to process in a ZK prover<sup>37</sup>.

Modern DePIN architectures increasingly favor a hybrid approach—Private Proving—where a lightweight zkVM (Zero-Knowledge Virtual Machine) runs inside a TEE<sup>37</sup>. This ensures the private inputs are shielded from the node operator via the hardware enclave, while a concise ZK proof is posted on-chain for cheap, trustless verification<sup>40</sup>.

| Feature            | Trusted Execution Environment (TEE)                                      | Zero-Knowledge Proof (ZK)                                         | Hybrid (ZK inside TEE)                                                          |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Trust Assumption   | Hardware vendor (Intel, AMD) and physical chip isolation <sup>37</sup> . | Cryptographic mathematics; functionally trustless <sup>39</sup> . | Hardware trust for privacy, cryptographic math for verification <sup>37</sup> . |
| Data Privacy Scope | Hides data from the host machine/operator                                | Hides data from the public verifier, but data is fully            | Hides data completely from both the physical                                    |

|                                  |                                                                                              |                                                                                          |                                                                                                  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                  | and the public network <sup>18</sup> .                                                       | exposed to the prover <sup>40</sup> .                                                    | operator and the public verifier <sup>40</sup> .                                                 |
| <b>Performance &amp; Latency</b> | Near-native execution speeds; capable of running large, complex applications <sup>37</sup> . | Computationally intensive; high latency and proving costs <sup>37</sup> .                | Moderate; TEE execution overhead combined with ZK proof generation limits <sup>37</sup> .        |
| <b>Ideal DePIN Use Case</b>      | Real-time AI inference, low-latency sensor ingestion, continuous execution <sup>37</sup> .   | One-shot state verification, regulatory compliance, selective disclosure <sup>37</sup> . | Enterprise-grade DePIN requiring absolute data provenance and operator blindness <sup>18</sup> . |

## 4. Sector-Specific Analysis and Commercial Investment Opportunities

The transition from purely speculative tokenomics to demand-driven cash flow has bifurcated the DePIN market. Networks that successfully target severe structural inefficiencies in the legacy Web2 economy are capturing massive enterprise spend, validating the underlying investment thesis<sup>10</sup>. The primary vectors of commercial opportunity lie in Decentralized Compute, Decentralized Wireless (DeWi), Storage, and Geospatial Data.

### 4.1 Decentralized Compute and AI Infrastructure

The proliferation of Generative AI has triggered a chronic, structural shortage of enterprise-grade GPUs. Traditional hyperscalers (AWS, Google Cloud, Azure) impose extended procurement wait times—often measured in months—and exact immense pricing premiums<sup>15</sup>. Decentralized compute networks arbitrage this inefficiency by aggregating latent GPU supply globally and offering it at cost reductions ranging from 50% to 85%<sup>15</sup>. By 2025 and into 2026, the leading compute networks executed a critical pivot from token-subsidized supply to verifiable, demand-driven cash flow<sup>15</sup>.

**Akash Network** operates as an open-source, permissionless supercloud utilizing a reverse auction mechanism where providers bid on workloads, driving down prices efficiently<sup>13</sup>. In 2024, Akash experienced a breakout year, with USD-denominated daily network spend growing 749% (from roughly \$1,300 to over \$11,000 per day), and GPU utilization consistently exceeding 80% heading into 2026<sup>15</sup>. The cost to rent an NVIDIA H100 on Akash is approximately \$1.33 per hour, compared to nearly \$3.93 on AWS<sup>13</sup>. Crucially for investors, Akash generates highly verifiable, on-chain audited revenue (\$3.15 million in 2025) and has activated its Burn-Mint Equilibrium, establishing a structural token sink<sup>46</sup>.

**io.net** positions itself directly against enterprise AI needs, offering instant provisioning, native multi-GPU support, and clustering via NVLink and InfiniBand<sup>43</sup>. The network generated roughly \$12.5 million in annualized revenue by late 2025 and secured integration as an authorized cloud service provider within the Dell Technologies Partner Program<sup>13</sup>. However, io.net carries elevated investment risk vectors. Its core orchestration and matching engines remain closed-source, and on-chain verification has highlighted severe discrepancies in hardware metrics<sup>47</sup>. Following a 2024 Sybil attack that inflated GPU counts with 1.8 million fake devices, current marketed metrics claim over 30,000 GPUs, while independent block explorers verified fewer than 2,500 active devices in late 2026<sup>46</sup>. Furthermore, its token burn mechanism remains emission-funded rather than driven strictly by revenue buybacks<sup>47</sup>.

**Aethir** and **Render Network** dominate the specialized compute sectors. Aethir represents arguably the strongest fundamental revenue story in DePIN, reporting \$127.8 million in on-chain reconciled revenue for the full year 2025 across a network of over 440,000 GPU containers<sup>15</sup>. Render, transitioning from 3D visual rendering to AI inference workloads, demonstrated accelerating token utility with burns surging 279% year-over-year in 2025, proving that real demand is consuming supply faster than new tokens enter circulation<sup>15</sup>. While Render's peak \$717 million market cap dwarfed peers, it requires sustained institutional adoption to challenge centralized behemoths like CoreWeave, which reached a \$19 billion private valuation on \$1.92 billion in revenue<sup>48</sup>.

| Compute Network | Core Value Proposition                                               | 2025 Audited/Reported Revenue                        | Price (H100 / A100 per hr)      | Investment Risk Factors & Architecture                                            |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Aethir (ATH)    | Enterprise-scale distributed GPU containers <sup>15</sup> .          | \$127.8M (On-chain reconciled) <sup>15</sup> .       | N/A                             | High revenue validation; positive economics at massive scale <sup>15</sup> .      |
| io.net (IO)     | AI Training, instant provisioning, NVLink clustering <sup>43</sup> . | ~\$12.5M (Self-reported, annualized) <sup>47</sup> . | \$1.49 - \$2.30 <sup>43</sup> . | Inflated GPU metrics, closed-source core, high executive turnover <sup>47</sup> . |

|                        |                                                                   |                                                         |                               |                                                                                         |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Akash (AKT)</b>     | General Compute & AI, open-source, permissionless <sup>13</sup> . | \$3.15M (On-chain audited) <sup>46</sup> .              | \$1.33 (H100) <sup>13</sup> . | Lower absolute revenue; relies on decentralized reverse-auction pricing <sup>13</sup> . |
| <b>Render (RENDER)</b> | Rendering & AI Inference, Burn-Mint Equilibrium <sup>46</sup> .   | N/A (Opaque reporting, high token burn) <sup>46</sup> . | Node-dependent.               | Foundation-permissioned scaling; opaque fiat revenue verification <sup>46</sup> .       |

## 4.2 Decentralized Wireless (DeWi) and Telecommunications

Decentralized Wireless (DeWi) aims to circumvent the multi-billion-dollar capital expenditure required for 5G and Wi-Fi cellular rollouts. **Helium Network** is the vanguard of this movement, having pioneered the model by deploying a massive LoRaWAN IoT network before expanding into mobile telephony<sup>4</sup>. Helium operates as a Mobile Virtual Network Operator (MVNO) atop T-Mobile's network, supplementing coverage with decentralized, user-owned CBRS small cells and Wi-Fi access points deployed globally<sup>49</sup>.

The primary commercial catalyst for DeWi is Carrier Offloading. Mobile Network Operators (MNOs) face severe bandwidth constraints in high-density urban environments. The Helium Mobile Carrier Offload Program allows major telecom providers—including Telefonica in Mexico and active trials with AT&T in the United States—to securely route subscriber data traffic onto Helium's decentralized network<sup>50</sup>. This offloading is entirely frictionless for the consumer, utilizing Passpoint/Hotspot 2.0 technology to automatically authenticate devices via internal SIM credentials<sup>51</sup>.

The network metrics demonstrate profound adoption. By Q4 2025, Helium surpassed 2 million network users, and the volume of data offloaded by carriers jumped 60.7% to 4,388 terabytes<sup>52</sup>. To prevent infinite token inflation and exploitation, the protocol caps rewardable offloaded data at 5 GB per subscriber per month across all non-Helium carriers<sup>53</sup>. Hotspot operators are compensated dynamically, typically pegged around \$0.50 per gigabyte transferred, directly linking real-world data consumption to token utility<sup>53</sup>. Helium's MVNO strategy is further bolstered by proprietary network-mapping systems, where subscribers opt-in via Non-Fungible Tokens (NFTs) to map coverage gaps in exchange for MOBILE token rewards, optimizing future hardware deployment locations<sup>49</sup>.

## 4.3 Decentralized Storage Networks



The decentralized data storage market was valued at \$622.9 million in 2024 and is projected to grow aggressively at a 22.4% CAGR through 2034, accelerated by the massive data footprint of AI training models and enterprise analytics, which is expected to exceed 180 zettabytes globally<sup>16</sup>. Decentralized networks capture market share through extreme cost arbitrage; by utilizing latent hard drive capacity globally, costs are reduced by over 95% compared to AWS S3<sup>16</sup>. The sector is dominated by three fundamentally different architectural and economic philosophies:

- 1. **Filecoin (FIL):** Designed as an open, dynamic market for scalable, temporary storage<sup>55</sup>. Filecoin relies on heavy cryptographic validation through Proof-of-Replication (PoRep) and Proof-of-Spacetime (PoSt) to guarantee that miners are continuously storing the requested data<sup>55</sup>. Storage deals are procured for specific durations, resulting in industry-low pricing—often ranging from \$0.20 to \$1.00 per terabyte per month<sup>16</sup>. In mid-2024, the Filecoin network added approximately 2.5 PiB of newly sealed storage daily, achieving a strong 31% utilization rate driven by enterprise clients and open-data archives<sup>16</sup>. However, the economic model requires clients to manage contract renewals and exposes them to token price volatility, as rewards are heavily subsidized by block emissions<sup>55</sup>.
- 2. **Arweave (AR):** Contrasting Filecoin's temporary subscription model, Arweave provides immutable, permanent storage<sup>55</sup>. Utilizing a unique "blockweave" structure—where new blocks reference a random historical block—and a Proof-of-Access (PoA) consensus mechanism, Arweave incentivizes miners to store rare data in perpetuity<sup>55</sup>. Users pay a single, upfront endowment fee (approximately \$3,500 per TB in 2025), which is pooled and distributed to miners over centuries<sup>56</sup>. This model relies on the assumption of exponentially declining hardware costs over time, making it uniquely suited for historical records, NFTs, and AI provenance tracking, though less competitive for dynamic, high-churn enterprise data<sup>57</sup>.
- 3. **Storj (STORJ):** Targeting enterprise users, Storj provides S3-compatible, encrypted, and sharded object storage without maintaining a blockchain consensus layer for the storage itself, relying instead on cryptographic audits<sup>16</sup>. While slightly more expensive than Filecoin (approximately \$4.00 per TB/month), it offers predictable fiat pricing and performance without requiring enterprise clients to directly manage volatile cryptocurrency<sup>16</sup>. In early 2025, Storj reported a sevenfold increase in annual recurring revenue (ARR), highlighting strong product-market fit in the Web2 transition<sup>57</sup>.

| Storage Network | Architectural Model | 2025 Approx. Cost per TB | Ideal Commercial Use-Case | Earning Mechanics |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|



|                       |                                                                     |                                         |                                                                   |                                                                                |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Filecoin (FIL)</b> | Temporary, cryptographic proof-backed (PoRep, PoSt) <sup>16</sup> . | \$0.20 - \$1.00 / Month <sup>16</sup> . | Large dynamic datasets, backups, scalable AI data <sup>16</sup> . | Storage and Retrieval mining based on duration and volume <sup>55</sup> .      |
| <b>Arweave (AR)</b>   | Permanent, immutable blockweave (Proof-of-Access) <sup>58</sup> .   | ~\$3,500 One-time fee <sup>56</sup> .   | Historical archives, NFTs, permanent applications <sup>58</sup> . | Blockweave mining reliant on proving access to historical data <sup>55</sup> . |
| <b>Storj (STORJ)</b>  | S3-compatible, encrypted, sharded object storage <sup>16</sup> .    | ~\$4.00 / Month <sup>16</sup> .         | Enterprise cloud replacement, CDN integration <sup>16</sup> .     | Low entry barrier provision of raw storage space <sup>16</sup> .               |

## 4.4 Geospatial Data and Energy Networks

Beyond digital resources, DePINs are mapped directly to physical coordinates and regional infrastructure. **Hivemapper** utilizes a decentralized network of crypto-enabled dashcams deployed by rideshare drivers and everyday commuters to map the world in real-time, effectively crowdsourcing a challenge to the oligopoly held by Google Maps<sup>63</sup>. By late 2025, Hivemapper achieved 38% global road coverage and mapped over 777 million total kilometers, securing enterprise integration partnerships with companies like Lyft<sup>64</sup>. The critical technical hurdle in geospatial DePINs is location spoofing; malicious actors attempt to farm tokens by faking GPS coordinates. Hivemapper mitigates this through advanced hardware-level anti-tampering and verification mechanisms to ensure data fidelity<sup>19</sup>.

In the energy sector, platforms like **Arkreen** aggregate globally distributed renewable energy resources<sup>66</sup>. By deploying remote and standard hardware miners, Arkreen captures and verifies green-energy generation data<sup>66</sup>. This data is brought on-chain to create verifiable Virtual Power Plants (VPPs) and Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs)<sup>66</sup>. This infrastructure allows fragmented, small-scale residential solar deployments to collectively participate in carbon-reduction economies and monetization schemes historically restricted to massive industrial utilities<sup>67</sup>.

## 5. Cryptoeconomic Design and Tokenomics

The fundamental risk underwriting any DePIN investment is navigating the "Bootstrap-to-Demand Handoff"<sup>9</sup>. Decentralized networks inherently suffer from a chicken-and-egg

dilemma: they require ubiquitous physical hardware coverage to attract paying enterprise clients, but hardware operators will not deploy significant capital expenditure without guaranteed, immediate revenue<sup>2</sup>. DePIN solves this initial phase by programmatically inflating the native token supply to subsidize early operators. However, if this subsidized supply is not eventually absorbed by genuine, fiat-denominated real-world demand, the token suffers hyperinflation, leading to an economic death spiral as operator yields collapse and hardware is taken offline<sup>9</sup>.

To ensure long-term sustainability, elite DePIN projects adopt advanced tokenomic architectures designed to insulate network utility from cryptocurrency market volatility while capturing underlying value.

## 5.1 The Burn-and-Mint Equilibrium (BME) and Stable-Priced Credits

The dominant and most resilient incentive pattern in the DePIN sector is the Burn-and-Mint Equilibrium (BME) linked to stable-priced service credits<sup>1</sup>. This model mathematically separates the volatile, speculative native token from the stable, fiat-denominated cost of network services<sup>1</sup>.

1. **Demand Side (The Burn):** End-users (e.g., AT&T utilizing Helium, or AI labs renting GPUs on Render) do not procure services using volatile tokens. Instead, they purchase non-transferable, stable-priced service credits (e.g., Helium's Data Credits persistently pegged to \$0.00001)<sup>1</sup>. To mint these credits for usage, an equivalent fiat value of the protocol's native token is permanently burned out of the circulating supply<sup>1</sup>.
2. **Supply Side (The Mint):** Hardware operators are rewarded not from the burned tokens, but from a predetermined, algorithmic inflation schedule (the mint) based on verified work<sup>9</sup>.

This resulting equilibrium acts as a structural economic flywheel: as real-world usage increases, the token burn rate accelerates. When the fiat-denominated token burn outpaces the nominal token mint, the network becomes net-deflationary<sup>9</sup>. This guarantees that service usage directly creates a persistent, on-chain value sink that outlasts speculative yield farming, effectively decoupling DePIN revenue from broader macroeconomic crypto cycles<sup>10</sup>. For instance, Hivemapper incorporates a partial burn and capped remint structure, where a majority percentage of tokens burned for map data is permanently destroyed, and a smaller capped portion is reminted as consumption rewards, providing a forecastable reward payout insulated from token price swings<sup>69</sup>.

## 5.2 Collateral, Slashing, and Adaptive Emission Control

Because DePIN requires physical hardware, cheating is inherently physical. Malicious actors may attempt to place wireless antennas in closets while spoofing GPS locations, or simulate fake GPU capacity to farm emissions<sup>69</sup>. Therefore, robust tokenomics must require operators to post financial collateral (staking)<sup>1</sup>. If middleware oracles detect sub-standard performance, spoofing, or malicious data generation, this staked collateral is slashed (destroyed)<sup>1</sup>. This game-theoretic design ensures that quality has financial teeth, allowing only high-fidelity

infrastructure to receive programmatic rewards, maintaining the commercial grade required for enterprise Service Level Agreements (SLAs)<sup>69</sup>.

## **6. Regulatory Landscape: The Japanese Web3 Sandbox**

The operational logic and scalability of a DePIN are inextricably linked to the global regulatory environment, particularly concerning token issuance, corporate taxation, and telecommunications law<sup>1</sup>. Japan has aggressively positioned itself as one of the most progressive, legally unambiguous, and capital-rich jurisdictions for DePIN deployment and institutional Web3 adoption<sup>70</sup>.

Following a period of strict regulatory enforcement after the 2018 exchange failures, the Japanese Financial Services Agency (FSA) and the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) pivoted aggressively, formally embedding Web3 expansion into the national economic growth strategy championed by the Liberal Democratic Party's (LDP) Web3 Project Team<sup>70</sup>.

### **6.1 Legislative Frameworks and Taxation Reforms**

The core framework governing digital assets in Japan relies on the Payment Services Act (PSA) and the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act (FIEA)<sup>70</sup>. Crucially, the regulatory treatment of DePIN tokens hinges on their operational function. When users receive tokens organically as a reward for contributing hardware resources (e.g., node mining), it is generally not classified as "selling and buying" under the PSA. This exempts the foundational protocol from stringent Crypto Asset Exchange Service Provider (CESP) registration, provided the protocol does not custody the user's private keys<sup>63</sup>. Furthermore, these organic rewards bypass Japan's Premiums and Representations Act, which otherwise caps promotional business premiums at 20% of a purchase price<sup>63</sup>.

A massive catalyst for the ecosystem is the 2026 legislative mandate to classify crypto assets as financial instruments under the revised FIEA<sup>74</sup>. This transition reduces the highly punitive miscellaneous income tax rate on retail crypto capital gains—which historically reached up to 55%—down to a flat 20%, bringing digital assets into parity with traditional equities and bonds, and paving the way for domestic Exchange-Traded Funds (ETFs)<sup>74</sup>. Furthermore, critical 2024 corporate reforms eliminated the mark-to-market taxation on unrealized gains for self-issued and third-party tokens held on balance sheets, drastically reducing the fiscal friction for domestic DePIN foundations and network operators<sup>74</sup>.

### **6.2 Institutional Capital Allocation and the Stablecoin Ecosystem**

The regulatory clarity in Japan has catalyzed massive institutional capital allocation into foundational infrastructure<sup>30</sup>. Beyond the Sony and Startale Labs joint venture, major financial entities like Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, SBI Holdings, and NTT are actively deploying production blockchain systems<sup>74</sup>.

Following the global implementation of Japan's stablecoin legislation in June 2023, the ecosystem has seen the rapid development of digital money-type stablecoins pegged to fiat currencies, such as the JPYC<sup>75</sup>. The ability to issue domestic yen-pegged stablecoins via trust

bank methods without requiring separate intermediary licenses allows for seamless, regulatory-compliant settlement of DePIN M2M micro-transactions, entirely circumventing foreign exchange risk for Japanese hardware operators and consumers<sup>71</sup>.

However, regulatory challenges remain in the physical deployment realm. Hardware utilized in wireless DePINs (e.g., Helium or Arkreen hotspots) must secure a Technical Conformity Mark (GITEKI) under the Japanese Radio Act to emit radio waves legally, and broader deployments may require telecommunications business notifications, highlighting the complex intersection of blockchain law with legacy telecommunications hardware regulation<sup>63</sup>.

## 7. Valuation Methodologies for Investors

Valuing DePIN protocols requires bridging standard corporate finance frameworks with the unique monetary premiums and velocity metrics of cryptographic assets. Traditional equity investors are drawn to the sector precisely because mature DePINs generate verifiable, fiat-denominated revenue, contrasting sharply with speculative assets or zero-cash-flow governance tokens<sup>10</sup>.

### 7.1 Relative Valuation: Price-to-Revenue and Price-to-Burn Ratios

In early stages, the aggregate DePIN sector suffered from hyper-inflated valuations relative to actual enterprise adoption. In late 2025, while the sector's market capitalization hovered between \$10 billion and \$19.2 billion, annualized on-chain revenue was a mere \$23 million to \$72 million, resulting in an aggregate Price-to-Revenue (P/R) ratio exceeding 800x<sup>12</sup>.

However, aggregating the sector obscures the outperformance of individual networks executing the revenue pivot. Investors must analyze the Price-to-Burn Ratio—the relationship between the token's fully diluted valuation (FDV) and the annualized fiat value of tokens permanently destroyed by the BME mechanism<sup>1</sup>. Networks like Akash, driven by high 80% GPU utilization, demonstrate rapidly compressing price-to-sales ratios that increasingly rival traditional SaaS metrics<sup>78</sup>. Conversely, networks exhibiting high token inflation with negligible token burns face severe downward pricing pressure as operator emissions are dumped on the open market to cover electricity and hardware depreciation costs<sup>9</sup>.

### 7.2 Absolute Valuation: Discounted Token Flow (DTF)

For DePIN tokens that function functionally as equity—where value accrues directly through token burns, buybacks, or fee distributions—analysts utilize a specific variation of the Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) model known as the Discounted Token Flow (DTF)<sup>1</sup>.

The DTF calculates the Net Present Value (NPV) of future token demand or burns via the standard corporate finance equation:

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{CF_t}{(1+r)^t} + TV$$

Where  $CF_t$  represents the net fiat value of tokens burned or distributed in period  $t$ ,  $r$  is the

risk-adjusted discount rate, and  $TV$  is the terminal value extrapolated beyond the forecast horizon<sup>80</sup>. The critical variable in DePIN valuation is the discount rate ( $r$ ). Given the nascent stage, hardware execution risks, and regulatory uncertainties, discount rates are standardly modeled much higher than traditional equities, typically between 15% to 40%<sup>80</sup>. Furthermore, analysts must explicitly calculate the percentage of total network equity that is actually tokenized; if a foundation reserves massive allocations off-chain, the fair value per token collapses proportionally<sup>80</sup>.

For tokens functioning strictly as mediums of exchange, the Equation of Exchange ( $M \times V = P \times T$ ) is utilized, though it is often heavily distorted by erratic token velocity ( $V$ ) caused by locked staking, speculative hoarding, and variable burn addresses<sup>80</sup>.

## 8. Conclusion and Strategic Investment Outlook

Decentralized Physical Infrastructure Networks represent the most credible and economically disruptive integration of Web3 mechanics with the tangible, real-world economy. By replacing centralized corporate CapEx with token-incentivized, crowdsourced OpEx, DePINs are fundamentally restructuring the economics of telecommunications, high-performance compute, geospatial mapping, and data storage<sup>1</sup>.

The years 2025 and 2026 marked a vital inflection point for the sector. The era of purely speculative token subsidies has ended, giving way to a mature landscape where institutional capital demands on-chain verifiable revenue, rigorous hardware attestation via Trusted Execution Environments, and sustainable Burn-and-Mint tokenomics that insulate operations from crypto market volatility<sup>9</sup>. Advancements in purpose-built Layer-1 and Layer-2 blockchains, alongside progressive, forward-thinking regulatory clarity in jurisdictions like Japan, provide a solid foundation for continued enterprise adoption and institutional capital allocation<sup>25</sup>.

For investors deciding whether to allocate capital into this technology, the opportunity is immense but requires strict diligence. Capital must be allocated exclusively to networks demonstrating tangible enterprise demand, high physical hardware utilization rates, and cryptographic mechanisms that seamlessly convert fiat cash flows into structural token deflation. As the infrastructure bottlenecks of the AI revolution intensify and demand for open internet resources grows, DePIN stands as a decentralized, scalable, and economically superior solution to the physical limitations of the traditional internet infrastructure.

### Works cited

1. Decentralized physical infrastructure networks (DePIN) tokenomics, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/blockchain/articles/10.3389/fbloc.2025.1644115/full>
2. Decentralized Physical Infrastructure (DePIN) - Chainlink,

- <https://chain.link/article/decentralized-physical-infrastructure-depin>
3. [2406.02239] Decentralized Physical Infrastructure Network (DePIN),  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.02239>
  4. Why DePIN matters, and how to make it work - a16z crypto,  
<https://a16zcrypto.com/posts/listicles/why-depin-matters/>
  5. Decentralized physical infrastructure networks (DePIN) tokenomics,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/401703914\\_Decentralized\\_physical\\_infrastructure\\_networks\\_DePIN\\_tokenomics](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/401703914_Decentralized_physical_infrastructure_networks_DePIN_tokenomics)
  6. [2309.16707] A Taxonomy for Blockchain-based Decentralized,  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.16707>
  7. A Taxonomy for Blockchain-based Decentralized Physical ... - arXiv,  
<https://arxiv.org/html/2309.16707v2>
  8. Are you a DePIN? A Decision Tree to Classify Decentralized ... - arXiv,  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.17416>
  9. DePIN Tokenomics: The Definitive Design Guide,  
<https://www.tokenomics.net/guides/depin-tokenomics/>
  10. How DePIN Tokenomics Is Changing Investor Expectations in Crypto,  
<https://beincrypto.com/depin-tokenomics-crypto-fluence/>
  11. State of DePIN Sector: Key Insights, Growth Trends & Market Cap,  
<https://iex.ec/blog/depin-sector-trends-market-cap>
  12. DePIN grows into a \$10B sector despite token slump, Messari says,  
<https://id.tradingview.com/news/cointelegraph:273eaaa36094b:0-depin-grows-into-a-10b-sector-despite-token-slump-messari-says/>
  13. 2026 Computing Power Research Report - Binance,  
<https://www.binance.com/en-AE/square/post/326943630327361>
  14. But Hivemapper Revenue Dropped 97% and Most Projects Have,  
<https://blockeden.xyz/forum/t/depin-market-cap-hit-19b-and-vcs-invested-740m-but-hivemapper-revenue-dropped-97-and-most-projects-have-zero-customers/397>
  15. DePIN's Revenue Reckoning: How Akash, io.net, and Aethir Are,  
<https://blockeden.xyz/blog/2026/03/12/depin-compute-revenue-pivot-akash-ionet-aethir/>
  16. Decentralized Storage: The Future of Data Management,  
<https://www.nadcab.com/blog/decentralized-data-storage>
  17. Decentralized Physical Infrastructure Network (DePIN) - ResearchGate,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381159713\\_Decentralized\\_Physical\\_Infrastructure\\_Network\\_DePIN\\_Challenges\\_and\\_Opportunities](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381159713_Decentralized_Physical_Infrastructure_Network_DePIN_Challenges_and_Opportunities)
  18. Building Trustworthy Physical Infrastructure Networks - Medium,  
<https://wisdomoguziee.medium.com/the-depin-privacy-layer-building-trustworthy-physical-infrastructure-networks-8721f0da3853>
  19. Hivemapper - Start mining HONEY today - Hexaspot,  
<https://hexaspot.com/pages/hivemapper>
  20. Performance Analysis of Decentralized Physical Infrastructure,



<https://arxiv.org/html/2404.08306v1>

21. IoTEx - IoT For All, <https://www.iotforall.com/community/companies/iotex>
22. The DePIN Verification Problem - IoTEx Documentation, <https://docs.iotex.io/depin/iotex-depin-modules/w3bstream/w3bstream-depin-verification>
23. What Is Peaq Network? Layer 1 DePIN Blockchain Explained, <https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/all-about-peaq-network-l1-de-pin-public-blockchain/4643>
24. What Is peaq (PEAQ), the Backbone of the Machine Economy and, <https://bingx.com/en/learn/article/what-is-peaq-backbone-of-machine-economy-how-does-it-work>
25. Why DePINs need an industry-specific layer-1 blockchain - Blockworks, <https://blockworks.com/news/why-depins-need-an-industry-specific-layer-1-blockchain>
26. A Technical Audit of peaq's Multi-Chain Layer-1 for DePIN - Medium, <https://medium.com/@teplov.a.g.186/the-machine-economy-blueprint-a-technical-audit-of-peaqs-multi-chain-layer-1-for-depin-be84090ba37b>
27. peaq - CoinList, <https://coinlist.co/peaq>
28. peaq: A Layer-1 Blockchain Purpose-Built to Power DePINs, <https://www.peaq.xyz/blog/peaq-a-layer-1-blockchain-designed-for-depins-decentralized-physical-infrastructure-networks>
29. peaq - Projects & Protocols - IQ.wiki, <https://iq.wiki/wiki/peaq>
30. Startale Group Secures ¥2 Billion Series A Investment from Sony, <https://www.fintechobserver.com/startale-group-secures-y-2-billion-series-a-investment-from-sony-innovation-fund-to-accelerate-vertical-integration-of-soneium-blockchain-ecosystem/>
31. Sony Ventures boosts Startale funding with \$13M bet on Soneium, <https://www.tradingview.com/news/invezz:4bb3a6888094b:0-sony-ventures-boosts-startale-funding-with-13m-bet-on-soneium-blockchain/>
32. Soneium: How Sony is Using Blockchain to Link Web2 and Web3, <https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/soneium-how-sony-is-using-blockchain-to-link-web2-and-web3/4285>
33. Sony Invests Additional \$13M in Startale as Soneium Blockchain, <https://www.blockhead.co/2026/01/29/sony-invests-additional-13m-in-startale-as-soneium-blockchain-surpasses-500m-transactions/>
34. What Is Soneium? A Beginner's Guide To Sony's Blockchain, <https://www.coingecko.com/learn/what-is-soneium-sony-crypto>
35. Startale Labs completes \$63 million Series A funding, led by Sony, <https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/305642627349586>
36. Startale Raises \$63M To Build Japan's Tokenized Finance Stack, <https://coinmarketcap.com/academy/article/startale-raises-dollar63m-to-build-japans-tokenized-finance-stack>
37. TEE vs ZK Proofs: When to Use Each | Support - Eco,

- <https://eco.com/support/en/articles/14796359-tee-vs-zk-proofs-when-to-use-each>
38. Zero-Knowledge Proof Architecture,  
<https://www.opensecurityarchitecture.org/patterns/sp-053/>
  39. ZK vs. TEE: Privacy-Preserving Computation - Chainlink,  
<https://chain.link/article/zk-vs-tee-privacy-computation>
  40. Private Proving with TEE - Phala Docs, [https://docs.phala.com/phala-cloud/cases/tee\\_with\\_zk\\_and\\_zkrollup](https://docs.phala.com/phala-cloud/cases/tee_with_zk_and_zkrollup)
  41. Trusted Execution Environments (TEEs) in Blockchain - Chainlink,  
<https://chain.link/article/trusted-execution-environments-blockchain>
  42. Trustless Attestation Verification with Zero-Knowledge Proofs,  
<https://developers.tiktok.com/blog/verifying-trusted-execution-environments>
  43. io.net vs Akash Network: Comparing GPU cloud pricing and features,  
<https://io.net/blog/io-net-vs-akash-network-comparing-gpu-cloud-pricing-and-features>
  44. Akash Network Explained: Crypto's GPU Marketplace Bet | HOGE Wire,  
<https://hoge.gg/akash-network-gpu-marketplace-explained-2026/>
  45. Scaling the Supercloud - Akash Network, <https://akash.network/blog/scaling-the-supercloud/>
  46. Render vs Akash vs io.net vs Aethir: Revenue Compared 2026,  
<https://ownyourmind.ai/tokenomics/render-vs-akash-vs-ionet/>
  47. io.net Review: IO Tokenomics, Revenue & GPU Metrics 2026,  
<https://ownyourmind.ai/projects/io-net/>
  48. Render (RENDER) - Price Potential August 2026 | CoinStats AI,  
<https://coinstats.app/ai/a/price-potential-render-token>
  49. Helium Mobile's subscriber count could exceed 8,000 - Light Reading,  
<https://www.lightreading.com/network-technology/helium-mobile-s-subscriber-count-could-exceed-8-000>
  50. Helium teases offload from T-Mobile - but there's no formal deal,  
<https://www.lightreading.com/oss-bss-cx/helium-teases-offload-from-t-mobile-but-there-s-no-formal-deal>
  51. Helium Mobile Carrier Offload Program FAQ,  
<https://hardware.hellohelium.com/en/articles/9903527-helium-mobile-carrier-offload-program-faq>
  52. Helium Hits 2 Million Users As Carrier Data Offload Jumps 60% in,  
<https://www.xt.com/en/blog/post/helium-hits-2-million-users-as-carrier-data-offload-jumps-60-in-q4-2025>
  53. Data cap on rewards? : r/HeliumNetwork - Reddit,  
[https://www.reddit.com/r/HeliumNetwork/comments/1p5fx80/data\\_cap\\_on\\_rewards/](https://www.reddit.com/r/HeliumNetwork/comments/1p5fx80/data_cap_on_rewards/)
  54. The Champions of Carrier Offload 🌟 - Helium Mobile Blog,  
<https://blog.heliummobile.com/champions/>
  55. Filecoin vs Arweave in 2025: Detailed Comparison - SoSoValue,

- <https://m.sosovalue.com/blog/fil-vs-ar-comparison>
56. Decentralized Storage Services: Arweave, Pinata, and Comparative, [https://blockeden.xyz/blog/2025/09/23/decentralized-storage-services/?utm\\_source=7blocklabs.com](https://blockeden.xyz/blog/2025/09/23/decentralized-storage-services/?utm_source=7blocklabs.com)
  57. Top Decentralized Storage Crypto Projects to Know in 2026 - BingX, <https://bingx.com/en/learn/article/top-decentralized-storage-crypto-projects-to-know>
  58. Arweave vs. Filecoin | ArDrive, <https://ardrive.io/articles/arweave-vs-filecoin/>
  59. Blockchain Data Stack: How Web3 Unleashes Proprietary Data for AI, <https://www.ocular.vc/focal-point/data-stack-part2>
  60. Comparison of storage costs between Arweave and Filecoin, and, <https://www.binance.com/en-JP/square/post/29771856445553>
  61. Decentralized Storage: Filecoin vs. Arweave vs. Storj (2026), <https://www.securities.io/decentralized-storage-filecoin-arweave-storj-comparison/>
  62. Comparing Modern Decentralized Storage Platforms, [https://tma.ifip.org/2025/wp-content/uploads/sites/14/2025/06/tma2025\\_paper16.pdf](https://tma.ifip.org/2025/wp-content/uploads/sites/14/2025/06/tma2025_paper16.pdf)
  63. DePIN and Japanese Law - So & Sato, <https://innovationlaw.jp/en/depin-en/>
  64. Hivemapper | Real-Time Global Street-Level Mapping, <https://hivemapper.com/>
  65. 【2026 年最新】Hivemapper の人気アイテム - メルカリ - Mercari, <https://jp.mercari.com/search?keyword=Hivemapper>
  66. Arkreen - About | DePIN Hub, <https://depinhub.io/projects/arkreen/>
  67. A Decentralized Energy Network Powered by Global ... - Arkreen, <https://www.arkreen.com/blog/arkreen-a-decentralized-energy-network-powered-by-global-community-and-on-chain-economy/>
  68. Net-Zero Emissions: How Decentralized Physical Infrastructure, <https://mapmetrics.org/tr/blog/the-vital-role-of-depin-in-net-zero-emissions/>
  69. Top 5 DePIN Token Models That Outlast Farming | by Thinking Loop, <https://medium.com/@ThinkingLoop/top-5-depin-token-models-that-outlast-farming-96617c9ea5b7>
  70. Crypto Regulations in Japan 2024 - So & Sato, <https://innovationlaw.jp/en/crypto-regulations-in-japan-2024/>
  71. Japanese Government and Industry Members Signal Commitment to, <https://www.mofo.com/resources/insights/230320-japan-committed-to-fostering-growth>
  72. Japan Payment Services Act: Crypto Rules - CryptoSlate, <https://cryptoslate.com/crypto-laws/japan-payment-services-act/>
  73. Japan Payment Services Act 2026 Guide | Global Law Experts, <https://globallawexperts.com/japan-payment-services-act-2026-guide/>
  74. Japan Crypto Regulation in 2026: What Companies Need to Know, <https://tech4impactsummit.com/blog/japan-web3-crypto-regulation-global-advantage/>

75. Japan moves to regulate crypto like stocks in market growth push,  
<https://www.japantimes.co.jp/business/2026/06/11/japan-cryptocurrencies-legislation/>
76. Regulatory landscape in Japan for stablecoins and related payment,  
<https://www.tkilaw.com/en/7053>
77. DePIN Token Economics Report, <https://depinspace.co/analytics/depin-token-economics-2/>
78. DePIN Explained: A 2026 Guide for Crypto Traders - Altrady,  
<https://www.altrady.com/blog/cryptocurrency/depin-explained-crypto-traders-guide>
79. DePIN Tokens Compared: Helium, IoTeX, Render and More 2026,  
<https://www.dextools.io/tutorials/depin-tokens-comparison-helium-iotex-render-2026>
80. Token Valuation Approaches Explained | FinDaS Tokenomics,  
<https://www.findas.org/blogs/token-valuation-approaches>

MAO SHUNQI